Beyond "This material is unprocessed" MINIMALLY DESCRIBING AND PROCESSING BORN DIGITAL COLLECTIONS

PRESENTED BY: LAURA UGLEAN JACKSON, ASSISTANT UNIVERSITY ARCHIVIST, UC IRVINE

Description + Min. Processing

My presentation...

 1)Experience minimally processing Hillis Miller born digital files
 2)What I learned
 3)Born Digital Processing Framework Group

J. Hillis Miller

- UCI faculty member
- Papers part of critical theory collections





The UCI Virtual Reading Room

Search This Subcollection	C SHARE SHARE	
Go	Search	
	[-] Search For	IDe
Browse This Subcollection	Go	IES FORMA · IRVINE
Collections		OKNIA IKVINE
Titles	[-] Search Filters	al files, 1988-2003 \rightarrow Richard R
- Rorty, Richard (12)	Use filters to refine the search results.	
] Subjects	Title Title Add	"Freebies list for
- Rorty, Richard (12)	Currently selected filters:	addresses
] Dates	✓ Title: *	Date Created: 1998-03-05T
- 1990 - 2000 (11) - 1989 - 1989 (1)	Update filters	Creator: Rorty, Richard
1000 1000 (1)	1.1 Search Display Ontions	Date Available to Public:
About UCIspace		Permanent Link To This I
About This Project		Item Files
Contact Us	Search Results for Subcollection: Richard Rorty born digital administrative and	
		File N
Other Links	Now showing items 1-10 of 12 1 2 Next	Fo
University Archives	"Franking list for Ashieving Our Counter" list of ashelers and their addresses	
	icon Creator: Rorty, Richard	
	Date Created: 1998-03-05	Additional Informatio
	The The The Transies list for CIS" list of names of scholars and their shouses	Title: "Freebies list for Ach
	icon Creator: Rorty, Richard	Subjects: Rorty, Richard
	Date Created: 1989-02-08	Type: Archives and Manus
		Language: en_US
	Thumbnail Application for residence in Bellagio, including detailed description of Rorty and His Critics project	Related Item: Is Part Of: F Collections and Archives. F http://www.oac.cdlib.org/fin
	Creator. Roty, Richard Date Created: 1996-09-01	Rights: This material is pro any material protected by c copyright owners. The auth California, Irvine Libraries,
		To access this item, interes http://special.lib.uci.edu/ap



sted researchers should submit an application online at plication-virtual-reading-room-ucispace. Access may be granted in less than 5

Provenance: Original file location on Richard Rorty's disk: MS-C017-FD044/plato97/FREEBIES

According to the documentation:

2011

Collection acquired. Consists of 400+ floppy disks and 1 hard drive

11 gigabytes total

2012

2016: Me

Disk images created and ingested to preservation repository



2014

Critical Theory Archivist processed physical components

Appraised born digital files, determined what to keep

Created access copies of the "to keep" materials

60-80% of collection had access copies

Richard Rorty Files in VRR

- 1027 files arranged in 8 subseries
 Item level processing
 Each file has
- metadata/description



Mark Poster Files in VRR

▶ 1 GB of material Divided into subseries ► Within subseries, contains zip files with the files Description includes CSV files containing

Description includes CSV files containing the file names within zip files

🖸 SHARE 🛛 🖬 💆 🖂 ... Mark Poster "Notes" files, 1992-2004 Date Created: 1992 Creator: Poster, Mark Description: This subdirectory includes books and journal articles by other authors, selected texts and citations of research interest to Mark Poster, as well as a curriculum vitae and email correspondence. This sub-directory has been appraised and packaged for access as a .zip file by the UCI Libraries. Researchers may search the contents of the .zip file after downloading and unzipping it. The .zip file is accompanied by a .csv file that lists the contents of the .zip file. Only the .csv file is searchable within UCISpace. This .csv file may be opened using a spreadsheet program such as Microsoft Excel. Date Available to Public: $2017-04-18 \leftarrow$ UCIspace account required for access to this file Permanent Link To This Item: http://hdl.handle.net/10575/5647 Item Files File Name: notes.zip Size: 32.27Mb Format: Unknown File Name: posterinventory_notes.csv Size: 112.3Kb Format: CSV file Additional Information

Title: Mark Poster "Notes" files, 1992-2004

Subjects: Internet -- Social aspects | Information technology -- Social aspects | Mass media -- Social aspects | Postmodernism -- Social aspects | Critical theory

Type: Archives and Manuscripts

Duration: 409 files, 35.3 MB

Related Item: Is Part Of: Mark Poster Papers. MS-C018. University of California, Irvine Libraries. Special Collections and Archives. Finding aid for entire collection available at: http://www.oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/kt809ng0c3

An Idea for a Plan

ALL theList of all file names andfilescorresponding subfolders



attached to finding aid

Files organized in subfolders, by digital object number (i.e. original disk media)

MSC013_DIG008_Working MSC013_DIG023_Original MSC013_DIG023_Working MSC013_DIG025_Original MSC013_DIG025_Working MSC013_DIG026_Original MSC013_DIG026_Working MSC013_DIG029_Original MSC013_DIG029_Working MSC013_DIG030_Original MSC013_DIG030_Working MSC013_DIG031_Original MSC013_DIG031_Working

3/13/2014 6:50 PM	File folder
3/17/2017 7:13 AM	File folder
3/14/2014 10:55 AM	File folder
3/17/2017 7:13 AM	File folder
7/9/2014 5:41 PM	File folder
3/17/2017 7:13 AM	File folder
3/14/2014 12:43 PM	File folder
3/17/2017 7:13 AM	File folder

Estimated processing hours (refer to chart in Section 4.5 of the processing manual). Comment on condition (i.e. barriers to access).

! Not applicable to born digital !

How will you organize the collection? Is there any existing meaningful order? What series will you use?

Processing Plan Template Born digital files are organized by digital object number, which corresponds to the piece of digital media that they came in on. This organization will be maintained. There will only be one series for born digital materials. If the collection receives a lot of use, it may be beneficial to arrange the files differently. Time, and patrons, will tell.

Processing Level	Component Level	Title	Description	Arrangement	Preservation	Appraisal
Low	Series	Born Digital materials				
			Consolidate only the "preservation" folders			
			Change folder names (remove the word "preservation")			
			Determine which folders have nothing in them (create a print of the access copies folder, examine that)			
			Delete empty folde	rs		
			Create inventory of corresponding to for object number	file names older name/digital		
			Decide if you want folder, or one for fl hard drive—thinkin	to zip one large oppies, one for each g one for the		

Uh oh...

Only 500 MB converted to access copies.

Realized after segregating the folders that held the access copies

Contained correspondence, which has a donor-imposed 25 year restriction

Current Finding Aid for J. Hillis Miller Born Digital Materials

C013-018-A, Box 45, Item MS-C013-017-A

Born digital files Series 9. circa 1980s-2000s

Physical Description: 11.8 Gigabytes

Scope and Content Summary

The **J** . **Hillis Miller papers** have an unprocessed digital component not yet available to researchers. The electronic content primarily consists of drafts, correspondence, photographs, and notes. Many documents are duplicated in the analog collection accessible in the Special Collections and Archives Reading Room.

Lessons Learned

Minimally processing born digital materials ≠ minimal effort

- What does processing even mean in a born digital landscape?
- Documentation may not be complete, needs to be clearer
- ► Help is needed!

Born Digital Processing Framework

▶ 9 archivists

Came from the Born Digital Archiving eXchange Unconference at Stanford

Survey the collection Remove or otherwise segregate PII that is Understand correlation between any Create processing plan analog/physical material found Rehouse physical media if necessary Identify and describe restrictions based on PII Arrange files according to intellectual Decision - do you keep physical media or decisions found not? Identify duplicate content Extract descriptive metadata Weed/separate material that doesn't fit Assign identifier to physical media Delete (or otherwise identify) duplicate Photograph/document physical media content collecting scope Consult collection materials (ie deed of gift, Determine volume of materials (in M/G/T/P Extract technical metadata digital material survey, etc) Record technical metadata bytes) PII risk assessment Virus scan Record administrative metadata Create file directory list (file-level metadata) Describe content at appropriate level Make preservation decisions - how will files be Perform file format analysis Add description to a finding aid (what kind of made available? Identify deleted/temporary/system files description)? Determine which files need to be migrated Image media (but is this more of an Migrate materials in need of migration Determine arrangement acquisition task?) Determine level of description Create a directory list Scan for PII Arrange materials intellectually

For each activity (e.g. create file directory list) decide the following:

- 1. Where in the lifecycle it falls, e.g. description, preservation, wrap up work
- 2. If it should be included in min. processing requirements
- 3. If source of the content affects the activity
- 4. If format of content affects the activity
- 5. How important the task is to the workflow

Thank you!

and feel free to contact me: lugleanj@uci.edu

UCSF Digital Collections Migrating to Nuxeo/Calisphere

Kelsi Evans, Project Archivist UCSF Archives kelsi.evans@ucsf.edu

UCSF Digital Collections

Welcome, kelsi Log Out

)ashboard	Edit Item #	3234: "University of Califor		Search		
tems	Dublin Core	Item Type Metadata Files Tags				
Collections	Dublin Core					
tem Types	Dubun Core	Dubin Core				
ags	files, and collections	tadata element set is common to all Omeka records, including item . For more information see, <mark>http://dublincore.org/documents/dces</mark> /.	s,	View Public Page		
ropbox	Title	A manual state the surgery of		Delete		
mple Pages	Additest	A name given to the resource		Dallin VI Sectored VI		
Simple Fages	Add input	Class" of 1906	0	Public: 🗠 Featured: 🖭		
		Use HTML 🗌		Collection		
		방법 방법 전 것 같아요. 전 방법 문제 전에		Select Below		
	Subject	The topic of the resource				
	Add Input		~			
			~			
		Use HTML 🗌				
	Description	An account of the resource				
	Add Input	UC Affiliated Colleges, College of Dentistry / Dental Department class of 1906. The class graduated after the San Francisco earthquake of 1906.	0			
		Use HTML 🗌				
	Creator	An entity primarily responsible for making the resource				
	Add Input	An entry primary responsible for making the resource				
	Add input		0			



Home About

The UCSF L illustrates a v provide a wir the human bc

Search the co



©2007 The Regents of the

UCSF Japanese Woodblock Print Collection

Home | About the Collection | View the Prints | View by Theme

Search All terms • ?



Terms of Use | Contact Us



UCSF Japanese Woodblock Print Collection

UC San Francisco, Special Collections

Contributing Institution:

nuxeo

▶ 🖿 "A History of UCSF" website images 🕕 ▶ MR 2014-32 Development/Alumni Relations 0 AR 2015-4 School of Dentistry 0) 🖿 AR 2017-16 Base Hospital 30 🕕 AR 90-60 UCSF 125th Anniversary 0 Archives Classification 0 Berne, Eric Collection ()) 🖿 Charlie 🕕 Biantz 0

Health Sciences Artifact Collection 0 Homeopathy Collection ①

MSS 2001-04 Sally Hughes AIDS Research 0 MSS 2001-05 GASP of Colorado 0 MSS 2001-33 Shimp ①

) apanese Woodblock Prints () MSS 2000-31 AIDS Ephemera Collection 0

MSS 2002-08 Radiologic Imaging Laboratory MSS 2003-12 Eric Berne papers 0 MSS 2005-08 Eric Berne papers ()) 🖿 MSS 2007-21 J. Michael Bishop 🕕

MSS 2007-33 Hahnemann Hospital 0) 🖿 MSS 2009-01 Eddie Leong Way 🕕 MSS 2011-23 Robert L. Day (0)

C

t O

🕶 🎦 Asset Library 🚳

🕨 🖿 Admin 🕕 UCB O

UCI 🛈 UCLA O ▶ 🔄 UCM 🚮

UCOP UCR O

UCSB 🕕 UCSC 🛈 UCSD 🕕 UCSF 0

ME WORKSPAC	E SEARCH 🧘 Kelsi.Evans@u	Document, User, Group			
≡ > Asset Li	brary> UCSF				
UCSF	20				< 2 (0) ÷
Used: 1,104.36	GBytes				
Content	Summary Edit Files History	y Manage Quota			
New	Fi	ilter			Items/page 20 ▼ 💷 📰 C 📾 🕩 📝
	Title 🔺		Modified 🔺	Last contributor	Version State
	A History of UCSF" website	images	12/15/2016	Kelsi.Evans@ucsf.edu	Project
	AR 2014-32 Development/Al	lumni Relations	1/5/2017	Kelsi.Evans@ucsf.edu	Project
	AR 2015-4 School of Dentist	try	3/17/2016	Barbara.Hui@ucop.edu	Project
	AR 2017-16 Base Hospital 3	0	4/19/2017	Kelsi.Evans@ucsf.edu	Project
	AR 90-60 UCSF 125th Anniv	versarv	1/26/2016	Kelsi.Evans@ucsf.edu	Proiect



About Contact Help Terms of Use

۹

3 8



Calisphere is a gateway to digital collections from California's great libraries, archives, and museums. Discover over 750,000 images, texts, and recordings-and counting.



UCSF DIGITAL COLLEC

Browse

UCSF DIGITAL COLLECT Browse Items

Browse Items

FEATURED

Browse Items Browse Collec

Dentistry recruitm poster with group

UCSF School of Dentistry Admissions recruitment po offering professional caree dentistry and dental hygie Photo by Bob Vogel.

BROWSE IT Browse All Browse by Tag S

2 of 118 > <

FEATURED

Mary B. Olney pape Selected documents and imag 1938, Dr. Olney founded the f

FEATURED

Cholera: The Reinh

The Reinhard S. Speck Chole was a Professor of Microbiolo

Browse Items · Browse Coll

Proudly powered by Omeka.

Bertram Katzung planting flowers or **Chauncey Leake** Day

Francis A. Sooy w

band on Chaunce

UCSF marching

Leake Day

Contributor

Rights Regents of the University of California

Format Photographic print

Type Image

Identifier photocoll_chaunceyleakeday1981_katzung



BERTRAM KATZUNG PLANTING FLOWERS ON CHAUNCEY LEAKE DAY

Search

+

Search

Browse Exhibits

Dublin Core

Title Bertram Katzung planting flowers on Chauncey Leake Day

Browse Collections

Description

Bertram Katzung planting flowers on UCSF Chauncey Leake Day, June 2, 1981, in front of the Medical Sciences Building

Source

Photograph collection, Chauncey Leake Day

Publisher Regents of the University of California

Date 1981-06-02

UCSF Archives and Special Collections

San Francisco General Hospital Historical Archives

HOME ZSFG HISTORY ABOUT THE ARCHIVES

CHIVES COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT POLICY

GET INVOLVED VIEW OUR COLLECTIONS



Keeping Our History

The Archives is the final repository for records of enduring value created at SFGH.

UC San Francisco

Collections at UC San Francisco
 Contributors at UC San Francisco

Q Search UC San Francisco



UCSF

Location: San Francisco, CA Phone: (415) 476-8112 Email: http://www.library.ucsf.edu/collections/archives/contact Website: http://www.library.ucsf.edu/

View featured image

Contributors at UC San Francisco

H.M. Fishbon Memorial Library

Library, Industry Documents Library Library, Special Collections Library, Tobacco Control Archives Library, University Archives

Making the Move to Nuxeo

- Unifies collections
- Increases searchability
- Better file management
- CDL support

Aerobics class at Millberry Union, 1982, UCSF Photograph Collection UCSF School of Medicine picnic, 1959, UCSF Photograph Collection

Implementing the System

UCSF Library computer, 1984 UCSF Photograph Collection

• File migration

Biomechanics Laboratory presentation, 1957, UCSF Photograph Collection

REDUCE PRESSURE

Implementing the System

Metadata cleanup

Library staff member Phyllis Gross in UCSF Library Current Journals area, 1958, UCSF Photograph Collection

III Fact

Library staff member Charles Stuckey at card catalog, 1969, UCSF Photograph Collection

Thank you!



Kelsi Evans – SCA AGM talk - 2017 Rough notes for talk

At UCSF we **migrated our digital collections** from a few different locally-managed sites, including an Omeka instance, to Nuxeo/Calisphere.

In this talk, I'll go over the **considerations that went into the decision** to migrate our material and discuss some of the major issues we had to work through in the initial phases of the migration. My **colleague David Krah will go into more depth** into some of our current projects and how our tools and processes have continued to evolve.

UCSF Archives set up an **Omeka instance in 2008** to serve digitized material to the public. If you are unfamiliar with Omeka, it is "free, flexible, and open source web-publishing platform for the display of library, museum, archives, and scholarly collections and exhibitions." The **focus of the platform is really display and web-publishing**, less on digital asset management, and we'll come back to that in a minute.

[show backend, talk about Dublin Core fields, our instance was set up to handle small jpgs and pdfs]

In addition to the Omeka instance, we also had some sites that I'm going to call **project sites**. These were basically created to serve one collection and were connected with a funded project. One of these was the **Japanese Woodblock Print Collection**.

[show site, includes over 400 images with descriptive metadata of our medicalrelated Japanese prints. It has a lot of information but lives as this kind of siloed site on the internet, so it can be difficult to direct researchers to and it lives out of context of the larger UCSF archives collections]

Around 2014 we began evaluating the new system being offered by CDL, Nuxeo backend with public display on the new Calisphere.

We were frankly eager to try a new system because the **limitations of our Omeka instance** and other sites were becoming clearer and clearer, especially as we started undertaking more large-scale digitization projects and our grants more regularly included some sort of digitization component.

To highlight a few of the limitations – **Omeka is open source**, which means there is a robust user community which can be great...but to be part of that, you really need staff with some programming and development expertise or at least the time and energy to devote to developing those skills. We just didn't really have this, so our instance was just the bare bones, which has limited search functionality and not the best user interface.

[show page with limited images, and no space for complex objects, or really anything more complicated than an image or pdf]

Additionally, if you remember I mentioned that it is a platform **focuses on display and web publishing, not asset management, and that's really how it had been used by our institution.** And because of this our backend had become a real hodgepodge of collections and exhibits and stand alone objects that were thrown up to make stuff available but not really with a mindful intention of managing robust, large digital collections with complex objects.

Finally, a major limitation was **Omeka's inability to serve as a unifying platform** for collections and I mean this at a couple different levels. **One, it couldn't easily and clearly bring together the different contributing institutions** that live under the umbrella of UCSF, including San Francisco General Hospital (which maintains its own website), and the Mount Zion campus (which had digital objects on oac). **Two, because of technical limitations**, we couldn't easily migrate the material that lived on project sites into Omeka, so what we were left with were several stand alone sites along with the Omeka site that we were trying to get users to navigate through, and that was becoming really confusing.

Nuxeo and Calisphere offered solutions to a lot of these issues, including the ability to unite collections under one **UCSF umbrella** and take that material that lived on siloed sites and put it in conversation with other collections in a much more **search friendly** interface, **manage complex objects and different file types** (especially the high res preservation copies) and **CDL was going to be there to offer support**, so we could actually push the boundaries of the system in a way our staff limitations had not allowed us to do with Omeka.

So with all this in mind in 2015 we decided to migrate as much of our material as was possible into Nuxeo with public display on Calisphere

One of the first steps was migrating material from the stand alone sites, which was relatively straightforward. We had the tifs that we wanted to manage in Nuxeo which would be automatically served as low res jpgs on Calisphere, so we sent those to CDL on harddrives, which CDL then loaded into Nuxeo under the appropriate project folder, and CDL and our team did some metadata field matching and then did a mass migration of that data from one site to the other.

We did **some of that same process with the Omeka material but then we started to run into some issues**. The first was that when our team and CDL bulk pulled files from Omeka, **all they got were low res access copies** being generated by Omeka. These were not the high res tifs that we want to manage in the long run. So we had to go back to our campus server and track down these tifs using the file name and then go through the process of matching these tifs with the affiliated metadata on Omeka, using the file name to match items. This was eventually **effective but not really efficient a**nd we definitely learned some lessons and we're trying to implement those now with an eye toward future migrations.

Another large issue for us was the fact that a lot of the material on **Omeka had very limited metadata**, **s**ometimes just a title and an unhelpful file name, so things like "building" with a file name of "building". I imagine this was done to serve material to researchers, so again that focus on publishing and less on asset management in Omeka. So years later, we didn't know really basic information like which collection the image came from.

So for this, **we created an intern project** of tracking down some of that material and having them update metadata. So this was effective but time and staff intensive. To help manage that time and staff investment, we used this **project to reevualate some of the material and decide if it was worth migrating for public display**. We knew we had the tifs for preservation on our server but we made decisions about some of those "buildings" images and they just didn't make the cut for migration.

This whole process really helped us establish better metadata standards, file naming conventions, and digitization best practices guides, again with an eye toward future migrations; really having an understanding that this is an iterative process that we need to be prepared for for long term stewardship of digital items.

At this point we've successfully migrated all of our omeka material and the majority of the project sites onto Nuxeo and started building new collections.

From a little over 2000 items on omeka and a few hundred on project sites to almost 30,000 on Calisphere with definite plans for growth in the future.

Crossing the Knowledge Gap: Effective Documentation's Role in Creating Digital Preservation Workflows

Victoria (Tori) Maches MLIS student, UCLA

The problem

- Need tech documentation to start program, document processes to maintain it
- Tech documentation assumes background archivists may lack
- Gaps in documentation affect developing programs
- Clear documentation needed to get started and maintain program





Steps for new practitioners

• The focus: address knowledge gaps, develop skills

- Ask questions
- Tutorials and alternate documentation
- Look outside archives-specific contexts
- Document everything
- Pay attention to what you don't know

Steps for documentation creators

- The focus: What would you have wanted to know?
 - Step-by-step instructions
 - Explain how/why it works
 - Screenshots/photos
 - Take advantage of born-digital medium
 - Assume inexperienced audience
- Keep future practitioners in mind

Safely removing a device from the system



Now that the disk has been imaged, you can eject it from the system. Note that even though it's not mounted, you will still want to do this so the operating system knows it's no longer available. Right-click on the disk icon in the doc and click **Safely remove**. You can now unplug your drive, or eject the disk.

29

Tip: Your disk icon may appear different from the one shown above.

"BitCurator Quick Start Guide" by the BitCurator Consortium, used under CC BY-SA 4.0



• Need clear documentation to create workflows, maintain program

• Start now and future documentation will fill these gaps

• Combine short- and long-term approaches



Born Digital: Care, Feeding, & Intake Processes at LOCKSS

Mary-Ellen Petrich - @mellen22 Digital Preservation Specialist, LOCKSS Stanford University Libraries

Society of California Archivists April 2017

me

- engineering -> library science
- hired to catalog the preservation collection and test software
- developed processes, and scripts that direct the preservation process at LOCKSS





LOCKSS?

- lots of copies and communities keep stuff safe
- a LOCKSS network is a peer-to-peer network
- websites are not predictable
- LOCKSS addresses issues of data relationships and metadata





inception



- Founded in 1999
- By a serials librarian and a computer scientist

- print journals \rightarrow Web
- conserve library's role as preserver
 - collect from publishers' websites
 - preserve w/ cheap, distributed, librarymanaged hardware
 - disseminate when unavailable from publisher



what is a LOCKSS network?

- Peer-to-peer network of web servers
- Journals and other archival information on the Web
- A set of independent, low-cost, persistent
 Web caches that cooperate to detect and repair damage to their content by voting in "opinion polls."





lots of LOCKSS

- LOCKSS (principle)
- LOCKSS (program)
- LOCKSS (software)
- Global LOCKSS Network (GLN)
- Private LOCKSS Networks (PLNs)
- CLOCKSS





Private LOCKSS Networks (PLNs)

- what are they?
 - community of interest
 - jointly designate content
 - run distributed nodes
 - establish governance
 - preservation via diverse technologies, institutions, networks



Preservação Diaita



Controlled LOCKSS (CLOCKSS)

what is it?

- library/publisher partnership
- preserve the scholarly record
- 12 globally-distributed nodes
- dark until no longer accessible
- triggered content worldaccessible





Global LOCKSS Network (GLN)

- ~150 Libraries, >600 Publishers
- released:
 - ~9,000 journals
 - ~110,000 Archival Units (AU)
 - ~15-20 terabytes
- dark web / subscription materials
- what is it?
 - conserve library's role as preserver
 - **collect** from publishers' websites
 - preserve w/ cheap, distributed, library-managed hardware
 - disseminate when unavailable from publisher





collection methods

- WARC
 - Hand-crafted
 - Quick & Dirty
 - Small single journals
- File Transfer
 - FTP or snail mail
 - Publisher Driven
- Harvest
 - Acting like a browser
 - LOCKSS Driven
 - Preserves file relationships
 - Parses out metadata





publisher setup for harvest

- Archival Unit (AU)
 - Volume of a Journal
 - Volume or Chapter of a Book
 - A closed collection of documents
 - Up to ~500 GB
- Subscription
 - IP Address access
- LOCKSS Permission Statement
 - Site, Journal, or Volume level
 - LOCKSS system has permission to collect, preserve, and serve this Archival Unit
- Manifest page
 - List of journal issues
 - Bottom of the tree





publisher plugin to the LOCKSS daemon

- Collection
 - Start URL
 - Link extraction
 - Crawl Rules Exclude & Include
 - Crawl filters
- Validation
 - Mime type
 - Html error codes
 - Login page identification
 - Substance checking
- Metadata Collection
- Polling filters





title database (tdb file)

- catalog records ++
- basic metadata
 - publisher, title, publication year, issn/isbn
 - in case metadata is missing
- parameters for each AU
 - url & volume or year or others
 - defines the AUid
 - passes parameter values to the publisher plugin
 - unique key
- status
 - human readable preservation stage
 - LOCKSS daemon: recognize, crawl, don't crawl



"<u>Catalogue Cards</u>" by Deborah Fitchett under CC BY 2.0

digital workflow

- doNotProcess ignore this AU
- *doesNotExist* AU does not exist
- expected not known if AU exists on the publisher's web site
- exists known that AU exists on the publisher's web site
- manifest permission page and manifest verified
- wanted higher priority for testing
- testing someone is testing this AU
- notReady testing has failed
- *ready* testing is completed and the AU is ready for release
- released for collection
- down no longer collected, unavailable through the publisher
- superseded this volume is no longer collected, but is available with another platform



```
3 · publisher <¶</pre>
  name = Taylor & Francis ;
5 ···· info[tester] = 6
6 · · >¶
7 1
8 plugin = org.lockss.plugin.taylorandfrancis.TaylorAndFrancisPlugin
9 param[base url] = http://www.tandfonline.com/
10 ¶
11 \cdots \{ \P \}
12 ¶
13 ···· title < 
    name = Archives and Manuscripts ;¶
  issn = 0157-6895 ; ¶
15
  eissn = 2164-6058
16
  · · · · >¶
17
18 1
19 ·
     param[journal id] = raam20
20 ¶
    implicit < status ; year ; name ; param[volume name] > 
21 · ·
22 ¶
23
   au < manifest ; 2012 ; Archives and Manuscripts Volume 40 ; 40 >
  au < down ; 2013 ; Archives and Manuscripts Volume 41 ; 41 >
24
   au < down ; 2014 ; Archives and Manuscripts Volume 42 ; 42 >
25
26
  au < down ; 2015 ; Archives and Manuscripts Volume 43 ; 43 >
    au < manifest ; 2016 ; Archives and Manuscripts Volume 44 ; 44 >
27
28 ¶
29 · · }¶
30 ¶
```

new material

- add new publishers & journals
- new volumes to add, predictable & unpredictable
- find new manifest pages (1x/wk)
- content releases to GLN (~1x/mo)

Defined by scripts that parse the title database: shell, perl, python, awk





preventative maintenance

- old volumes have moved, developed problems
- merge metadata for multiple networks
- compare the catalog to the network
- QA. typos, duplicate ISSNs, duplicate volumes, malformed parameters





testing

- Test content against software
 - two servers
 - 12 hours apart
- Errors
 - No subscription
 - Permission statement missing or malformed
 - No volume exists
 - Malformed lists of issues, articles, or links
 - URL redirects (journal has moved)
 - No articles
 - HTML crawl errors (can't access, taking too long, missing, moved)
 - Transient changes, rotating ads, dynamic content, dynamic file generation, watermarking





LOCKSS?

- lots of copies and communities keep stuff safe
- a LOCKSS network is a peerto-peer network
- websites are not predictable
- LOCKSS addresses issues of data relationships and metadata





have we collected it?

• How do we know?



